Joint inversion of the first overtone and fundamental mode for deep imaging at the Valhall oil field using ambient noise
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SUMMARY
Surface waves derived from ambient noise data are composed of fundamental and higher modes. The first overtone is sensitive to structure from the surface down to a greater depth than the fundamental mode. We use 6.5 hr of continuous recording of noise on 2320 ocean bottom cable sensors from the Valhall Life of Field Seismic and we compute the intersensor cross-correlation functions for the vertical and radial components. We observe that the vertical component is dominated by the fundamental mode whereas on the radial component, the first overtone is stronger than the fundamental mode. Forward modelling demonstrates that a few hundred metres of low velocity sediments along with the water layer plays an important role for the generation of stronger first overtone signal on radial component. When we invert only the fundamental mode phase velocity data, the S-wave velocity model has vertical resolution down to 600 m depth. Combining the fundamental mode and the first overtone enables to image deeper structure down to 1 km depth, highlighting the presence of a low velocity zone.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Seismic interferometry has made it possible to convert noise data into signal by cross-correlating noise data recorded at two stations (e.g. Weaver & Lobkis 2001a,b; Roux et al. 2004; Shapiro & Campine 2004; Snieder 2004, 2006; Wapenaar 2004; Roux & Sabra 2005; Wapenaar & Fokkema 2006; and Gouédard et al. 2008). Ambient seismic noise is the continuous oscillation of the Earth that is recorded worldwide and contains information about the subsurface structure. The deterministic signal extracted from cross-correlations (CCs) of seismic noise is complementary to earthquake seismograms. The main source of seismic noise in the period band 1–10 s is known as secondary microseisms. They are generated by the interaction of the ocean gravity waves of similar frequencies that travel in opposite directions (Longuet-Higgins 1950; Hasselman 1963).

Most applications of ambient noise tomography have shown high-resolution images of the crust and the upper mantle at different scales (e.g. Shapiro et al. 2005; Moschetti et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2007; Bensen et al. 2008; Nishida et al. 2009; Ekström et al. 2009; Haned et al. 2016). Also, noise CC approach has been applied to extract reflection responses from industrial seismic networks (Draganov et al. 2007, 2013). The green’s function extracted from the ambient noise is also used in Kanto basin (e.g. Viens et al. 2016). For very shallow structures, the seismic noise data sets have been extensively used in the past few years, particularly after installation of Valhall array (e.g. de Ridder 2011, 2014; de Ridder & Biondi 2013; Mordret et al. 2013a,b,c, 2014a,b; de Ridder & Biondi 2015b). At the ocean bottom, depending on the period and velocity of the medium, we record either Scholte or Rayleigh waves. Scholte wave amplitude is maximum at the interface, and it decreases exponentially above and below it (Scholte 1946; Scholte 1947; Scholte 1958). The interface wave at a boundary between vacuum/air and solid is called Rayleigh wave. The physics is similar for Scholte and Rayleigh waves but the Scholte wave phase velocity is lower than the lowest velocity in the medium [for example, in ocean-sediment medium, these waves propagate with phase velocity much lower than the sound velocity.

* Now at: Dublin Institute for advanced studies, 5 Merrion Square, Dublin 2, Ireland.
in water (Biot 1952). Scholte waves become Rayleigh waves at
long period.

Recently, several studies have shown the potential to extract
higher modes of surface waves from the noise CCs (Priestley et al.
1980; Socco & Strobbia 2004; Harmon et al. 2007; Nishida et al.
2008; Rivet et al. 2015). Overtones are of great interest because
they are sensitive to deeper structures than the fundamental mode
at the same frequency hence, improve depth resolution (Kimman
& Trampert 2010; Rivet et al. 2015). Higher modes are observed
reasonably often, when very shallow structures are examined. They
just are generally considered to be a problem and are avoided rather
than used (e.g. Boaga et al. 2013).

Here, we first consider the average CC function for the Valhall
Life of Field Seismic dataset and we measure the dispersion curve
for the fundamental mode and the first overtone on the vertical and
radial components, respectively. We invert these dispersion curves
to derive the average S-wave velocity model. This model is then
used to investigate the corresponding seismic wavefield in order to
explain the relative amplitude of the fundamental mode and the first
overtone. We then measure dispersion curves across the Valhall
Life Field Seismic array for the fundamental mode and for the first
overtone. We perform a depth inversion using neighbourhood algo-

2 DATA AND CROSS-CORRELATION

In 2003, BP installed a permanent network of ocean bottom ca-
ble (OBC), called ‘Life of Field Seismic’ (LoFS) to monitor the
reservoir. It was the world’s largest permanent OBC (of ~120 km
long) seismic array at the time of its installation. We were pro-
vided continuous recording of 6.5 hr of ambient seismic noise on
four component sensors (Z-vertical, N-north, E-east components
of the seismometer and H-hydrophone) at Valhall LoFS network
(Fig. 1) for this study. We use ambient noise recorded on vertical
and radial components. The data set was recorded at 250 samples
per second on 2320 sensors where the interstation distance between
them along the cable was 50 m and intercable distance was 300 m.
Fig. 2 shows the virtual gathers that are obtained by stacking all
CCs (~2 690 040) computed for individual component that fall
into a 10-m interstation distance bin. Stronger fundamental mode
can be seen in the virtual gather of the vertical component CCs
(Fig. 2a) and stronger first overtone signal in the virtual gather of
radial component CCs (Fig. 2b).

3 INVERSION OF AVERAGE
DISPERSION CURVES

We first invert for average 1-D S-wave velocity model of Valhall
before inverting for 3-D model. F–K analysis (Gabriels et al. 1987)
of virtual gathers is performed for the vertical and radial compo-
nents to measure the average dispersion curves for the fundamental
mode and the first overtone of Scholte/Rayleigh waves. The disper-
sion curves can be measured by picking the maximum energy for
each mode (Figs 2c and d). The virtual gather for hydrophone–
hydrophone (HH) cross-correlation and F–K spectrum are also
computed (see Supporting Information Fig. S1) and compared with
vertical and radial component cross-correlations. The hydrophone
CCs have the same behaviour as the radial component CCs with a
stronger first overtone signal.

The maximum energy from F–K spectrum of vertical component
virtual gather is picked and superimposed on the F–K spectrum of
radial component virtual gather. Dispersion curves of fundamental
mode and first overtone have the same speed on both the radial and
vertical components. Hence, on the horizontal component, the first
overtone signal belongs to the Scholte-Rayleigh waves, they are not
due to the coupling of Love wave signal. The phase velocity, \( c \),
can be obtained for a frequency \( f \) and a wavenumber \( k \) using the
following equation:

\[
c_m(f) = \frac{2\pi f}{k_m(f)},
\]

where \( m \) is the mode number. We then fit these measurements with
a third-order polynomial to obtain smoothed dispersion curves (solid
lines in Fig. 3a). The dispersive property can be easily identified as
the velocity increases with increasing periods of both modes.

When the F–K analysis is performed to the entire data set, average
phase velocities can be measured in the frequency range 0.55–1.7 Hz
(period 0.58–1.8 s) for the fundamental mode and in the frequency
range 0.6–1.2 Hz (period 0.8–1.6 s) for the first overtone (Fig. 2).
For tomography (Section 5), only subsets of data are used for each
F–K analysis and the phase velocities can be measured only in the
narrower frequency range: 0.625–1.42 Hz (period 0.7–1.6 s) for
the fundamental mode and 0.655–1 Hz (period 1–1.5 s) for the
first overtone. These dispersion curves are used to perform depth
inversion in the next section.
**Figure 2.** Virtual gather (a) and its F–K spectrum (b) of ZZ component. Virtual gather (c) and its F–K spectrum (d) of RR component. All the correlations computed for ZZ and RR component are stacked and averaged in 10 m interstation distance bins. Blue and magenta colour are the fundamental mode and the first overtone dispersion curves, respectively, that are picked from the F–K spectrum.

### 3.1 Inversion of average dispersion curves with using the neighbourhood algorithm (NA)

We invert the Scholte-Rayleigh wave average dispersion curve with a method based on the NA (Sambridge 1999) already used by Mordret *et al.* (2014a) and Tomar *et al.* (2016). For an isotropic medium, the model is most often parametrized as a 1-D layered $S$-wave velocity profile, where parameters are the thicknesses and velocities (that are constant) in each layer. Here, we only invert $S$-wave velocity because we assume low sensitivity of surface wave to $P$-wave velocity and density. Therefore, the $P$-wave velocity and density are scaled from the empirical relation: $V_p = 1.16V_s + 1.36$ (Castagna *et al.* 1985) and $\rho = 1.74(V_p)^2$ with $V_p$ in km s$^{-1}$ (Brocher 2005). The $S$-wave velocity model consists of a fixed water layer at the top, several layers of varying velocity and thickness and a half-space at the bottom. The average water depth at Valhall is 70 m. Information about the subsurface structure of Valhall is available from well loggings and active source seismic data sets (e.g. Barkved 2012) and a 3-D $P$-wave velocity model (Sirgue *et al.* 2010). Using these information and stratigraphic logs (Munns 1985), it has been established that there are unconsolidated sediments of a few hundred metres. There are two structural discontinuities at 600 and 950 m depths. We use this information to simplify the model parametrization for the $S$-wave velocity. To reduce the number of parameters in the inversion, we use a power-law equation, from seafloor down to 600 m, the $S$-wave velocity, $V_S$ at depth $d$ is parametrized as follows (Wathelet *et al.* 2004):

$$V_S(d) = V_0((d + d_0)^{\alpha} - (d_0 + 1)^{\alpha} + 1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $V_0$ is the velocity just below the seafloor, $\alpha$ is the power-law parameter, $d_0$ is the water depth (for Valhall it is 70 m). Below 600 m, we add one layer of varying thickness and velocity and a half-space. We invert four parameters: $S$-wave velocity below the sea floor $V_0$, and the power-law parameter $\alpha$ in eq. (2), the velocity and thickness of the layer below 600 m. The NA algorithm has several steps, first we generate $n_1$ 1-D randomly generated $S$-wave velocity models in the model space, then we create a mesh of Voronoi cells that are used to combine these models. For each model, theoretical dispersion curve is computed (Herrmann & Ammon 2004) and a misfit between theoretical and observed dispersion curves is assigned to each cell (Mordret *et al.* 2014a). Second, we choose the best $n_c$ cells on the basis of best misfit and new models $n_s$ are generated. Then, we generate a new set of Voronoi
cells on the basis of new and previous models. Finally, we repeat this last procedure to $n_i$ iterations. The code used to compute the synthetic dispersion curves (Herrmann & Ammon 2004) requires a set of layers with constant elastic properties to define the structure. Therefore, we choose 13 thin layers to approximate the Valhall structure and the velocities in each layer are selected to fit eq. (2). The bounds on the parameters are [150–500] m s$^{-1}$ for $V_0$, [0.8–2.3] for $\alpha$ [500–1400] m s$^{-1}$ for depth $d_{n-1}$ and [500–1500] m s$^{-1}$ for $V_{n-1}$ (velocity of 13th layer). The NA was run with $n_1 = 10,000$, $n_i = 1000$, $n_s = 5$ and $n_t = 8$. We tested 50 000 models using NA.

Among these 50 000 models, we choose the best 1000 models and take their average to get the final 1-D S-wave velocity model. The 1000 best models are selected based upon their misfit function (Mordret et al. 2014a). The average of these models is not very different from the model that achieves the best misfit, while it may provide a more stable solution than just selecting one model based only upon the best misfit (Tomar et al. 2016).

We performed three inversions to test the influence of using the first overtone in the two frequency ranges where it could be measured. The first inversion was performed using only the average fundamental mode dispersion curve in the period range 0.55–1.75 s (black colour curve with error bar on Fig. 3b). The average of the 1000 best-fitting S-wave velocity models is shown in Fig. 3(d)—model 1, blue curve—and the corresponding phase velocity on Fig. 3(b)—blue curve. One can see that the fundamental mode has limited sensitivity to structure deeper than 700 m. Then, a second inversion was performed using the average phase velocities for the fundamental mode and first overtone in the period range 0.55–1.75 and 0.7–1.6 s, respectively (red curves corresponding to model 2, in Figs 3c and d). In this inversion, we found a second discontinuity at $\sim 950$ m depth. Finally, the third inversion was performed using the two modes in the narrow frequency band in which they could be measured locally for every grid point (and used in Section 5 for tomography). These period ranges are 0.7–1.6 s for the fundamental mode and 1–1.5 s for the first overtone (green curves corresponding to model 3, in Figs 3c and d). All three models are similar down to 700 m. Models 2 and 3 are similar down to 950 m. Only the velocity deeper than 950 m is larger when the first overtone dispersion curve is inverted in the wide period range.

The average velocity model 2 (hereafter called Valhall) is used for the synthetic modelling in the next section and as a starting model for the tomographic inversion in Section 5.

4 SYNTHETIC SEISMOGRAM MODELLING

In this section, we model synthetic seismograms to investigate why the fundamental mode and the first overtone are the dominant signals on the vertical and radial components, respectively. We compute...
Figure 4. Phase (a) and group (b) velocities of the modes as a function of period computed for Valhall model. Red lines indicate the period range of interest between 0.55 and 1.75 s and magenta line indicates the lowest S-wave velocity below ocean in Valhall (Fig. 3d). Modes \( n = 0 \) to 8 have contribution for the synthetic seismograms in the period range of interest. Vertical (c) and radial (e) synthetic seismograms of the fundamental mode (black), first overtone (red), second to eight higher modes (green), and all modes together (blue). (d) and (f) are the corresponding spectra.

synthetic Green’s functions for the vertical and the radial components by using wavenumber integration method (Herrmann 2013). The source is a vertical force. The source and receiver are 1 m below the seafloor at 71 m depth and the distance between them is 10 km.

Figs 4(a) and (b) show the phase and group velocities for the inverted Valhall model. The magenta line (Fig. 4a) indicates the lowest S-wave velocity at Valhall below seafloor (380 m s\(^{-1}\), Fig. 3d). Following Biot (1952), Scholte wave phase velocity is lower than the lowest velocity, that is 380 m s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, the fundamental mode is a Scholte wave for periods below 0.9 s, and it is a Rayleigh wave for period above 0.9 s. Supporting Information Fig. S2 shows the corresponding vertical and radial eigenfunctions. At short periods (e.g. 0.4 and 0.8 s), the eigenfunction amplitude is maximum at 70 m, that is at the ocean–sediment boundary, and decreases exponentially on both sides of the interface as expected for Scholte waves. At longer periods (1.2 and 1.6 s), eigenfunction amplitude is no more concentrated at the interface, but it is large from the surface down to a given depth that increases with increasing period. Higher modes \( (n = 1–8 \text{ in Fig. 4a}) \) are all Rayleigh waves as the velocities of higher modes are higher than the lowest velocity.

Fig. 4 shows that there are up to nine modes that contribute in the period range of interest. Synthetic seismograms are plotted in Figs 4(c) and (e) for the vertical and radial components, respectively. All seismograms are filtered between 0.3 and 1.75 Hz. For both the
vertical and radial components, the second to eight higher mode amplitudes are negligible.

On the vertical component, the fundamental mode is stronger than all other modes (Fig. 4d). In the frequency range 0.3 to 1.75, the first higher mode has signal of one order smaller magnitude than the fundamental mode. On the radial component, (Fig. 4e), the first overtone signal is four times larger than the fundamental mode signal. It is consistent with what we observed from the real data set for the vertical and radial components.

For comparison, we also compute velocities and synthetic seismograms for a model without the sediment layer, see Appendix A, Fig. A1). We observe that the fundamental mode signal is stronger than all other modes in the spectrum for the vertical as well as for the radial components.

Therefore, based on these observations, we can confidently suggest that few hundred metres of low velocity sediments below the 70 m water layer play an important role for the different amplitude of the fundamental mode with respect to the first overtone on vertical and radial components at Valhall. It is consistent with results on land from Savage et al. (2013), who observed stronger first-higher mode on radial component in the case of 1.5 km thick sedimentary layer.
5 AMBIENT NOISE SURFACE WAVE TOMOGRAPHY OF THE FUNDAMENTAL MODE AND FIRST OVERTONE

Using data and modelling, we have shown that the first overtone signal on RR component is stronger than the fundamental mode in the presence of a water layer with a sedimentary layer underneath it. In that case, it is possible to investigate the shallow structure deeper than if only the fundamental mode can be measured. We use F–K filtering to extract the first overtone from the RR component CCs. We apply an Eikonal tomography (Lin et al. 2009) to compute phase velocity maps at different periods (see Appendix B, Fig. B1). We extract the local dispersion curves from the 2-D phase velocity maps computed at different periods for the fundamental mode and first overtone and invert them jointly by using the method described in Section 3. At every location, 25 000 models are tested for local dispersion curves. Fig. 5 shows the velocity–depth slices at different depths (at 120, 600 and 900 m) when using only the fundamental mode (Figs 5a, b and c, respectively) and using the fundamental mode along with the first overtone (Figs 5d, e and f, respectively). The paleochannels are visible at 120 m depth (indicated with the dotted lines and rectangle in Figs 5(a), (d), already obtained in full waveform inversion (FWI) results of active source seismic data (Sirgue et al. 2010)). A low velocity anomaly starts at the depth of 600 m and becomes strong at 900 m depth (indicated with the ellipses in Figs 5e and f) in the middle of the map, which could be related to the gas cloud observed in the P-wave velocity depth-slice at 1050 m below the sea level obtained from FWI (Sirgue et al. 2009, 2010). In principle, S-wave velocity should not represent any anomaly related to gas (Minshull et al. 1994). But for the isotropic inversion in S-wave velocity model, we get low velocity anomaly that could be related to gas. The anisotropic phase velocity is not only sensitive to $V_{SV}$ but also to $V_{SH}$ and $V_{PV}$ (Montagner & Nataf 1986). Hence, it might be possible that the anomaly we observe in S-wave could be due to the decrease in P-wave velocity, which has been mapped in the $V_{SP}$ inversion result. One can observe that this low velocity anomaly is enhanced after using the first overtone data in the inversion, which confirms the importance of using first overtone to improve the depth resolution.

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have used just 6.5 hr of continuous recording of noise data from the Valhall LoF’s network. We computed fundamental mode and first overtone average dispersion curves from the virtual gather of vertical and radial components, respectively.

These dispersion curves are inverted using a NA to get the average Valhall S-wave velocity structure down to 1 km. We showed that the inversion of the fundamental mode alone enables to retrieve the structure down to 700 m while adding the first overtone in the period range of interest enables to retrieve the structure down to 1 km. We computed eigenfunctions using the 1-D velocity model of Valhall (see Supporting Information Fig. S2). They confirm that the first overtone has sensitivity from the surface down to 1 km. For this 1-D model, we also computed ZH-ratio, which shows that the particle motion is prograde at Valhall (Supporting Information Fig. S3).

Using synthetic seismograms in the period range 0.55 to 1.75 s, we demonstrated that the strongest signal is the fundamental mode on the vertical component and the first overtone on the radial component only in the presence of water and sediment layers. Without the sediment layer, the fundamental mode is the dominant signal on both vertical and radial component. It has strong implications in terms of seismic hazard assessment (in general, not only at Valhall).

The first overtone signal is retrieved from the radial component by F–K filtering and used to get the phase velocity distribution at different periods. The 2-D phase velocity maps are used to obtain the local dispersion curves at every grid points. The local dispersion curves are jointly inverted to obtain 3-D isotropic S-wave velocity structure down to 1 km after combining all 1-D vertical profiles. We observe geological structure like paleochannels, seafloor subsidence signature and a low velocity anomaly that is associated with the gas cloud present in the overburden of Valhall reservoir. The use of the first overtone strongly enhances the low velocity anomaly related to the gas cloud between 700 and 1000 m.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at GJI online.

Figure S1. Virtual gather (a) and its F–K spectrum (b) of HH component. All correlations computed for HH component are stacked and averaged in 10 m interstation distance bins. Blue and magenta colours are the fundamental mode and the first overtone dispersion curves, respectively, that were picked from the F–K spectrum of ZZ and RR component virtual gathers, respectively (see Fig. 2).

Figure S2. Vertical and radial eigenfunctions are computed for the fundamental mode at periods 0.4 s (a, e), 0.8 s (b, f) 1.2 s (c, g) and 1.6 s (d, h) respectively, and for first overtone at periods 0.4 s (i, m), at 0.8 s (j, n) 1.2 s (k, o) and 1.5 s (l, p), respectively. The black horizontal line indicates the interface (water–sediment interface). Vertical and horizontal ZH-ratio is computed at the interface (∼70 m).

Figure S3. ZH-ratio is computed from eigenfunctions obtained at different periods (Fig. S2). Particles have prograde motion for period range 0.3–1.6 s.
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APPENDIX A: SYNTHETIC SEISMOGRAMS IN A MODEL WITHOUT SEDIMENT LAYER

We remove the sediment layer from the Valhall model and compute a synthetic seismogram at 10 km distance between source and receiver. The source and the receiver are located 1 m below the surface. For this model, Figs A1 (a) and (b) show phase and group velocities for the first eight modes in the period range of interest, between 0.55 and 1.75 s. Figs A1 (c) and (e) show the synthetic seismograms of the eight modes for the vertical and radial component, respectively. Corresponding spectra are plotted in Figs A1 (d) and (f).

Figs A1 (c) and (d) show that, on the vertical component, the fundamental mode signal is stronger than all other modes and that the second to eight modes have amplitude close to zero. Figs A1 (e) and (f) show that, on the radial component, the dominant signal is also the fundamental mode. This is the classical amplitude ratio of modes on vertical and radial components. In the case of Vahall, the sediment layers play an important role for shaping the seismic wavefield. Interference of waves propagating in these layers modify the mode relative amplitude and we observe that amplitude of the first overtone becomes larger than that of the fundamental mode on the radial component (Fig. 4).

APPENDIX B: TOMOGRAPHY OF THE FUNDAMENTAL MODE AND FIRST OVERTONE SCHOLTE/RAYLEIGH WAVES

CCs between all the possible pair of sensors of ZZ component are used to compute the fundamental mode phase velocity. Eikonal tomography is used to construct 2-D phase velocity maps at different periods. We use the method discussed in Mordret et al. (2013b) and Tomar et al. (2016): first, the frequency-dependent phase travel times are measured for each interstation noise CC and interpolated on to a regular grid of 50 × 50 m across the whole Valhall array, using spline in-tension interpolation (Wessel & Bercovici 1998). The in-tension coefficient takes care of smoothness of the interpolated surface between the data points. Second, we compute the phase-slowness maps for all 2320 receivers by taking the gradient of interpolated phase travel time in this period range. Third, the 2-D slowness maps are constructed by averaging all 2320 maps and their uncertainties are estimated by taking their standard deviation. Finally, we invert the slowness map to get a 2-D phase velocity distribution for the whole Valhall array at each period.

Figs B1(a) and (b) show the phase velocity maps at two different periods (0.7 and 1.4 s, respectively). We see high velocity anomaly indicated with the dotted curve that corresponds to the shallow paleochannels as interpreted by BP author (see, Sirgue et al. 2010). In the Southeast part of the area, a big paleochannel is clearly visible. Similar results were obtained by Mordret et al. (2013b), de Ridder et al. (2014) and Tomar et al. (2016).

To extract the first overtone of Rayleigh waves, we use RR component CCs and apply F–K filter to distinguish it from fundamental mode. These filtered waveforms are used to construct 2-D phase-velocity maps using the Eikonal tomography using the method is described above. The first overtone velocity is higher than fundamental mode. Figs B1 (c), (d) show, the 2-D phase-velocity maps at two different periods (1.2 and 1.4 s, respectively).

In the middle of the first overtone phase-velocity maps (Figs B1c and d), we can see a low velocity anomaly (indicated with the black ellipses). This velocity anomaly may correspond to deeper structure than what is observed on the fundamental mode phase velocity maps. The phase-velocity maps of first overtone are constructed from 1 to 1.5 s at an interval of 0.1 s and jointly inverted with the fundamental mode phase velocity maps for obtaining the 3-D velocity variation (Fig. 5).
Deep imaging at the Valhall oil field

Figure A1. Phase (a) and group (b) velocities of the modes as a function of period computed for Valhall model without sedimentary layer. Red lines indicate the period range of interest between 0.55 and 1.75 s. Modes $n = 0$ to 8 have contribution for the synthetic seismograms in the period range of interest. Vertical (c) and radial (e) synthetic seismograms of the fundamental mode (black), first overtone (red), second to eight higher modes (green), and all modes together (blue). (d) and (f) are the corresponding spectra.
Figure B1. Phase-velocity maps of fundamental mode at periods of 0.7 s (a) and at 1.4 s (b). The dotted lines indicate the paleochannels in shallow part of Valhall overburden. Phase-velocity maps of first overtone at periods of 1.2 s (c) and at 1.4 s (d). The dotted ellipses indicate the low velocity anomaly in Valhall overburden.