Experimental investigation of the response of an alluvial river to a vertical offset of its bed

L. Malverti, E. Lajeunesse & F. Métivier

Laboratoire de Dynamique des Fluides Géologiques, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Paris, France

ABSTRACT: We study the question of the lifetime of a vertical offset generated in the bed of an alluvial gravelbed river by faulting. This problem is adressed experimentally by investigating the response of a micro-scale river to a vertical offset of its bed. Calibration of the experimental sediment transport law and of the velocity – water depth relationship allow us to write the equation describing the evolution of the bed elevation. Scaling considerations further reveal that, in their dimensionless form, the equations governing the evolution of a natural or an experimental river are identical. The differences of time and length scales at work in experimental and natural rivers are encoded in the expression of only two parameters. This result justifies the use of a microscale river and provides the key to (and the extent up to which) extrapolate our experimental results to the field scale.

1 INTRODUCTION

In 1999 the Gölçuk (M = 7.4) and Duzce (M = 7.2)earthquakes in Turkey induced disruption and offset of all the streams crossing the north anatolian fault along a 50 km path, some of these offsets being more than 4 meters in amplitude (Armijo (2000)). Adding to the desolation induced by each one of these deadly events, more than 17000 lives lost, 60000 homeless and a cost of more than 25 billions of euros (European Environmental Agency 2003), farmers and people leaving along the stream banks faced an unusual threat in the following months : the reaction of the streams to the strong perturbation of their bed. Entrenchment due to vertical offset induced bank collapse, endangering neighboring constructions. It also changed the hydraulics of floods. Furthermore bank erosion due to lateral offset along the fault resulted in rapid lateral shift of the river that threatened both neighboring constructions and agricultural crops. Understanding the dynamics and estimating the timescale governing the response of a river to an external perturbation such as the ones produced by earthquakes is therefore an important issue for risk assessment and civil protection in seismic tectonically active countries.

At a larger time scale it is also an important issue in earth sciences as such perturbations often lead to the abandonment of morphologic markers such as alluvial terraces. These features are then used as passive markers of the deformation that can be used to constrain uplift rates or to date earthquakes and climatic changes. Indeed active faults generate offsets of features such as rivers, ridges, terraces levels and terrace risers. Such morphological markers combined with dating are widely used in earth sciences to constrain the kinematics of active faults (Burbank (2001), Dade (1998), Van-der-Woerd (2002)).

This use of morphological markers raises the question of their characteristic time scales of both formation and resilience (e.g. Bull (1991) and Meunier (submitted)). This question has been addressed quantitatively in the case of scarp degradation by Wallace (1977) and later Avouac (1993) who established a method of dating based on the estimate of the degree of degradation of a scarp (vertical offset) through diffusive-like slope processes. Armstrong (2004) later investigated experimentally the evolution of a microscale river to a lateral offset of its bed. He showed that bank erosion develops a kinematic wave and derived an equation describing the evolution of the bank. These studies all share in common the conclusion that depending on the timescale at which they are looked upon morphologic markers may or may not just be considered as passive. The formation of such markers depends on the hydrodynamics of flow and sediment transport at the time of abandonment and results from the progressive propagation (up or downstream) of erosion waves with characteristic velocities and timescales.

Our objective in this paper is to extend the work of Armstrong (2004) by investigating the response of a river to a sudden vertical uplift of its bed. As an exemple the Chi-Chi earthquake which stroke Taiwan in 1999 produced a vertical offset of about 2 meters along the bed of the Da-Jia river. However this offset could not be detected anymore six months later: the river had completely erased the marker in such a short time. This observation motivated the work reported in this paper. The response of a river to a sudden vertical uplift of its bed is investigated. We focus on the transient regime following the creation of the scarp. How does the scarp erode? On what timescale? What parameters govern the dynamics of return to equilibrium?

Because of the lack of reliable natural data sets, these questions are adressed experimentally by investigating the response of a micro-scale river to a vertical offset of its bed.

The paper is organized as follows. The experiments are described in section 2.

The equations governing the response of our microscale river to an offset of its bed are developped and solved in section 3. The results of the model are compare to experimental results. Section 4 is devoted to the problem of the upscaling of our experimental results to natural rivers

2 EXPERIMENTS

2.1 Setup and procedure

We conducted experiments in a small inclinable flume of cross section $5 \times 5 \text{ cm}^2$ and length L = 1 m schemed on figure 1. The flume was filled with a 4 cm thick sediment bed of glass beads of size between 50 and 100 μ m and density $\rho = 2500$ kg.m⁻³. A pump was used to inject water at the flume inlet. The flow discharge remained constant during an experiment. It was measured with a flow-meter (accuracy 0.01 L/minute) and was varied between 0.1 and 2 L/minute. The walls of the flume were rigid so that our micro-scale river kept a constant width of 5 cm. The flume reposed on a inclinable plane allowing us to vary the slope of the river bed. This latter measured with a digital inclinometer (accuracy 0.1°) was varied between 0.3 and 4°. At the flume outlet, sediment particles settled in a constant water level overflowing tank. The tank was positioned on a high precision scale (accuracy 0.1 g) connected to a computer collecting the weight of the tank at regular time intervals (10 s). This allowed us to precisely measure the sediment cumulated mass at the outlet of the river from which the sediment discharge was derived (figure 1b).

The experimental procedure was the following. An initial river with a flat bed of slope S_i and elevation $h_i(x)$ was prepared. About ten minutes after the flow had been initiated, sediment discharge reached a stationnary state as illustrated on figure 1b. Once this stationnary state was reached, we generated a vertical offset of the river bed using a gate located at the downstream end of the flume which can be suddenly dropped down (see figure 1). This offset was applied



Figure 1. Schematics of the experimental setup. (a) Measurements of bed elevation by mean of a set of laser sheets projected on the river. (b) Cumulated sediment mass as a function of time at the flume outlet: about ten minutes after initiation of the flow, sediment discharge reaches a stationary state.

rapidly in regards of the timescale of channel evolution so that it can be considered as instantaneous.

The river response to this sudden perturbation was measured by mean of a set of laser sheets projected onto the river bed. A digital camera positionned at the vertical of the bed recorded images of the laser sheets which deviation allowed us to mesure the variations of bed elevation within an accuracy of 6%. The size of the region imaged by the camera was about 40 cm.

Note that there was no sediment input at the river inlet. As a result an erosion wave progressively propagated slowly from the inlet towards the outlet of the flume. All our experiments were stopped before this degradation wave had reached the region of interest so that it never interferred with our results.

2.2 Experimental results

Several series of experimental run were conducted with initial bed slope ranging from 0.3° to 4° , water discharge from 0.5 L/minute to 2.5 L/minute and offset from 0.5 cm to 1 cm. Experiment duration ranged between 30 minutes and 1 hour. Figure 2 displays typical variations of bed elevation observed for three different experimental run. The river responds to the offset by spreading a diffusive erosion wave. The upstream face of the scarp is eroded so that the scarp progressively smoothes.

3 THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

3.1 Governing equations

In this section, we develop an aggradation-degradation model to account for the experimental observations. The model we developed is very similar to the one



Figure 2. Dimensionless bed elevation $\Delta h^* = (h(x,t) - h_i(x)) / A$ as a function of $x^* = x/A$ for three different experimental run. Crosses, triangles, circles and squares correspond to experimental data respectively acquirred at t = 50s, 200s, 500s and 1000s. Straight lines correspond to the model predictions. (a) Si = 0.035, S_C = 0.003 and A = 0.5 mm and (b) S_i = 0.007, S_C = 0.006 and A = 0.5 mm.

already developped by Gary Parker and described in his Morphodynamics Ebook¹.

We are interested in the evolution of the longitudinal bed elevation h(x,t) of our experimental river as a function of time t and streamwise distance x. Before being perturbated by faulting, the river is assumed to be at steady state with a profile of constant slope S_i . The input sediment flux at the upstream end of the river therefore compensates exactly the erosion of the bed.

At time t = 0, an offset of magnitude A is created at the flume outlet located in x = 0 as illustrated on Figure 3. The initial bed profile right after perturbation is therefore:

$$h(x,t=0) = \begin{cases} -S_i \cdot x + A & for \quad x < 0\\ 0 & for \quad x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(1)



Figure 3. Representation of initial river bed which undergoes a vertical offset of magnitude A. h represents the bed elevation, x is the position along the bed river and L is the length of the flume.

The evolution of the bed of our micro-scale river (alike natural rivers) is governed by the Exner sediment mass conservation equation:

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q_s}{\partial x} = 0$$
⁽²⁾

where λ is the porosity of the bed and q_s is the volumetric sediment discharge per unit width. The width of the experimental river is constant so that conservation of water reads:

$$q_l = UH \tag{3}$$

where q_1 is the discharge per unit river width, U is the average flow velocity and H is river depth.

The Reynolds number in our experimental river ranges between 100 and 500 so that our river can be considered laminar (Malverti (submitted)). As a result both the sediment transport equation and the equation linking U and H are likely to differ in our experiments and in a natural river. Several experimental run were performed in order to calibrate the relationship between sediment transport rate and basal shear stress in our experimental flume. The results of these series of experiments have already been reported in another publication (Malverti (2007)) so that we only recall the main result of interest for the present paper. Sediment transport rate qs was measured with the scale and the average flow velocity U_m was estimated by tracking the motion of a drop of dye injected in the river. A large number of experimental run were performed for different bed slopes ranging from 0.3° to 4° and water discharges ranging from 0.5 L/minute to 2.5 L/minute. Assuming normal flow conditions, the basal shear stress exerted by the flow τ_b was estimated by

$$\mathbf{\tau}_b = \mathbf{\rho}_f g H S \tag{4}$$

where $\rho_{\rm f}$ is the density of water and S is the bed slope.

(

¹ Gary Parker's ebook can be freely downloaded from the following URL: http://cee.uiuc.edu/people/parkerg/.



Figure 4. (a) Dimensionnless sediment transport rate $q_s/(R.g.D^3)^{(1/2)}$ versus shields number. (b) Average flow velocity U as a function of $(\rho_f g S q_l^2/3 \eta)^{1/3}$.

The experimentally measured sediment transport law is shown on figure 4a. Surprisingly sediment transport in our experimental river follows the same law than in a natural one. It is indeed governed by the Meyer-Peter and Müller law:

$$\frac{q_s}{\sqrt{RgD^3}} = \alpha \left(\tau^* - \tau_c^*\right)^{3/2} \tag{5}$$

where ρ_s is the density of the sediment, $\mathbf{R} = (\rho_s - \rho_f)/\rho_f$, g is gravity, D is the diameter of the glass beads forming the bed and $\alpha = 0.965$ is a dimensionless coefficient. $\mathbf{\tau}^* = \mathbf{\tau}_b/(\Delta\rho \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{D})$ is the Shields number (Shields (1936)), $\mathbf{\tau}_b$ is the streamwise bed shear stress and $\mathbf{\tau}_c^* = 0.09$ is a dimensionless threshold shear stress.

For a pure laminar flow, a straightforward calculation leads to the following relationship between depth-averaged flow velocity and slope:

$$U = \left(\frac{\rho_f g S q_l^2}{3\eta}\right)^{1/3} \tag{6}$$

where η is the dynamical viscosity of water. A fit of the experimental data led to a slightly different expression (see figure 4b):

$$U_m = 1.24 \left(\frac{\rho_J g S q_l^2}{3\eta}\right)^{1/3}$$
(7)

The difference between equations (6) and (7) is discussed by Malverti (submitted). To summarize, we believe that it might be due to the fact that the velocity at the bed is not 0 as assumed in equation (6).

Combining equations (2), (3), (4), (5) and (7) leads to:

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + C_D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left(-\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right)^{2/3} - S_c^{2/3} \right)^{3/2} = 0$$
(8)

 C_D is homogeneous to a diffusion coefficient. It is defined by:

$$C_D = \alpha \, \varepsilon^{3/2} \left(\frac{\eta \, q_I}{R^2 \rho_f} \right)^{1/2} \tag{9}$$

where $\varepsilon = 1.1631$. S_C is a critical slope defined by:

$$S_{c} = \left(\frac{R^{3}D^{3}\rho_{f}g\tau_{c}^{*3}}{\epsilon^{3}\eta q_{l}}\right)^{1/2}$$
(10)

If the slope of the river falls below S_C , no sediment is transported.

Let us now write the boundary conditions. First of all, as already stated above, we consider that, before perturbation, the river is at steady state with a profile of constant slope S_i . The input sediment flux at the upstream river end (x = -L) therefore compensates exactly the bed erosion which reads:

$$q_{s}(x = -L, t) = C_{D} \left[\left(S_{i} \right)^{2/3} - S_{c}^{2/3} \right]^{3/2}$$
(11)

This boundary condition holds of course as long as the incision wave generated by the offset has not reached the downstream end of the reach investigated.

The second boundary condition states that the elevation of the bed at the end of the flume remains constant in time:

$$h(x=0,t) = 0 \tag{12}$$

3.2 Scaling

In order to exhibit the relevant control parameters governing the evolution of the river bed, we choose to make the equation dimensionless by defining the following dimensionnless variables:

$$h^* = \frac{h}{A}$$

$$x^* = \frac{x}{A}$$

$$t^* = \frac{C_D}{(1-\lambda)A^2}t$$
(13)

With other words, distances are measured in units of the offset A and time is measured in units of the characteristic diffusive time $\tau_{\rm D} = (1 - \lambda)A^2 / C_{\rm D}$. With these new variables, equation (8) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial t^*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^*} \left(\left(-\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial x^*} \right)^{2/3} - S_C^{2/3} \right)^{3/2} = 0$$
(14)

whereas the initial and boundary conditions read:

$$h^{*}(x,t=0) = \begin{cases} -S_{i} \cdot x + 1 & \text{for } -\frac{L}{A} \le x^{*} < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x^{*} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(15)

$$q_s^*(x^* = -\frac{L}{A}) = \left(S_i^{2/3} - S_c^{2/3}\right)^{3/2}$$

$$h^*(x^* = 0) = 0$$
(16)

The set of equations (14), (15) and (16) are controled by three dimensionless parameters S_i , S_C and L/A. This last parameter however is very large as the amplitude of the offset is small compared to the typical flume dimension. We therefore do not expect L/A to exert any influence. Only S_C and S_i are expected to control the response of the river to the perturbation. In our micro-scale river, S_i ranged between 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} and S_C varied between 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} .

The set of equations (14), (15) and (16) were solved numerically using a finite differences scheme for various values of S_C and S_i . The evolution of dimensionless bed elevation versus dimensionless time is displayed on figure 5 for different values of S_C and S_i . The river responds to the offset by spreading a diffusive erosiondeposition wave. The upstream face of the scarp is eroded downstream so that the scarp progressively smoothes around a knickpoint. Note that this latter is not advected. In the frame of this simple model, the river response is purely diffusive.



Figure 5. Dimensionless bed elevation $h^*(x^*,t^*)$ from $t^* = 0$ to 10. The dimensionless time step between two successive profiles is $\Delta t^* = 1$. (a) $S_i = 0.03$ and $S_C = 0.001$ (b) $S_i = 0.0001$ and $S_C = 0.0001$.

3.3 Comparison between experimental data and model predictions

The model predictions display a very good agreement with the experimental data as illustrated on figure 2. To be complete, it is however important to note that the agreement between our theoritical modeling and the experiments remains good as long as the amplitude of the offset A remains smaller or of the order of the water depth H. When A becomes much larger than H, normal flow assumption is not fulffilled any more so that the model fails to capture the first instants of the erosion of the scarp.

4 UPSCALING THE MODEL TO NATURAL RIVERS

The model developped in the preceeding section can easily be adapted to the case of natural gravel bed rivers. Indeed, in the case of natural rivers, the flow is generally turbulent and flow velocity is linked to water flow depht H through Chézy formula so that equation (7) needs to be replaced by :

$$U = C_z \sqrt{gHS} \tag{17}$$

where Cz is the Chézy coefficient.

Equations (2), (3), (4) and (5) are unchanged. Combining them with equation (17) leads to the equation governing the evolution of the bed of a natural gravel bed river:

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + C_D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right)^{2/3} - S_c^{2/3} \right)^{3/2} = 0$$
(18)

where the expressions of the parameters C_D and S_C are now:

$$C_D = \frac{\alpha q_l}{C_z R} \tag{19}$$

and

$$S_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{R^{3}D^{3}g} C_{z} \tau_{c}^{*3/2}}{q_{l}}$$
(20)

The equations governing the evolution of a natural or an experimental river are therefore formally identical. The differences of time and length scales at work in experimental and natural river are encoded in the expression of C_D and S_C . The expressions of these parameters provide therefore the key to extrapolate our experimental results to a natural river and justify the use of a laminar microscale river.

5 CONCLUSION

We have investigated the response of an alluvial river to a vertical offset of its bed generated by faulting. The problem is first addressed experimentally by investigating the response of a laminar micro-scale river to a vertical offset of its bed.

We then developed a model by solving sediment mass conservation together with transport law and shallow-water equations. This allows us to predict the evolution of the bed elevation. The use of dimensionless equations reveals that the initial slope S_i and the critical slope S_C are the only two relevant parameters governing the response of the river. Predictions of the model are found to be in very good agreement with the experimental observations thus justifying our theoretical approach.

Calibration of the experimental sediment transport law and of the velocity-water depth relationship together with scaling considerations reveal that, in their dimensionless form, the equations governing the evolution of a natural or an experimental river are identical. The differences of time and length scales at work in experimental and natural river are encoded in the expression of the two parameters C_D and S_C . This result justifies the use of a microscale river and provides the key to (and the extent up to which) extrapolate our experimental results to the field scale.

The next step would be now to apply the model to a set of natural data. This work, which is in progress, is however difficult because of the need of reliable hydrologic and granulometric data together with precise measurements of a vertical offset generated along the bed.

REFERENCES

- Armijo, R. et al, 2000, The fault breaks of the 1999 earthquakes in turkey and tectonic evolution of the sea of maramara, in The 1999 Izmit and Duzce earthquakes: prelimary Results, edited by A. Barka, O. Kozaci, S. Aky_uz, and E. Altunel, 55–62, Istanbul Tecnical University.
- Armstrong. L. 2004. Etude de l'érosion des berges et du transport de sédiments d'une micro-rivière à chenal droit., thèse de Doctorat de l'université Paris 7.
- Avouac. J. 1993. Analysis of scarp profiles: evalution of errors in morphologic dating, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98 (B4), 6745–6754.
- Bull, W. 1991. Geomorphic responses to climate change., Oxford University Press.
- Burbank, D. & Anderson, R. 2001. Tectonic geomorphology: Malden, Massachusetts, Blackwell Science.
- Dade, W. & Friend, P. 1998. Grain-size, sediment-transport regime, and chenal slope in alluvial rivers, J. Geol, 106, 661–675.
- Malverti, L et al. submitted to *Sedimentology*. Morphodynamics of laminar flow in rivers and subaqueous dense bottom flows.
- Meunier P. et al. Submitted. Experimental formation of alluvial cut terraces under constant boundary conditions, *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surface.*
- Meyer-Peter, E. & Müller, E. 1948. Formulas for bed-load transport. in proceedings of the 2nd meeting of the international association for hydraulic structures research, *Delft*, 39–64.
- Shields, A. 1936. Anwendung der anlichkeitsmechanik und der turbulenzforschung auf die geschiebebewegung, mitt.preuss. versuchsanst. wasserbau schiffbau. translated to english by w.p. Ott and j.c. Van Uchelen, CalTech, Pasadena, CA.
- Van Der Woerd, J. et al. 2002. Uniform post-glacial slip-rate along the central 600 km of the kunlun fault (tibet), from ²⁶ al,¹⁰ be and ¹⁴c dating of risers offsets, and climatic origin of the regional morphology, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 148, 356–388, 2002.
- Wallace, R. 1977. Profiles and ages of young fault scarps, north-central nevada, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull, 88, 1267–1281.