Magma influence on propagation of normal faults: Evidence from cumulative slip profiles along Dabbahu-Manda-Hararo rift segment (Afar, Ethiopia)
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A B S T R A C T
Measuring displacement-length profiles along normal faults provides crucial information on fault growth processes. Here, based on satellite imagery and topography we analyze 357 normal faults distributed along the active rift of Dabbahu-Manda-Hararo (DMH), Afar, which offers a unique opportunity to investigate the influence of magmatism on fault growth processes. Our measurements reveal a large variety of slip profiles that are not consistent with elastic deformation. Their analysis contributes towards a better understanding of the lateral propagation of faults, especially when nucleation points and existence of barriers are included. Using the fault growth model of Manighetti et al. (2001), we determine the preferred direction of lateral propagation for each fault. Our results suggest that lateral propagation of faults is easier away from areas where magma has been stored for long time at crustal depth, and has thus modified the thermo-mechanical properties of the host-rock. However, these areas correspond also to areas where the initiation of fault growth appears as easiest along the rift. In combining these results with the analysis of rift width and the position of magma reservoirs along DMH rift, we show that fault growth keeps track of the magma presence and/or movement in the crust.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Process of fault growth has been studied from earthquake surface ruptures as well as from cumulative slip profiles for various styles of tectonic deformation (Pollard and Segall, 1987; Walsh and Watterson, 1988; Cowie and Scholz, 1992a,b; Dawers et al., 1993; Bürgmann et al., 1994; Cartwright et al., 1995; Cowie and Shipton, 1998; Manighetti et al., 2001a, 2004, 2007, 2015; Scholz, 2002; Klinger, 2010). Most models are based on the elastic theory and for an isolated normal fault, they predict that slip should be maximum at the center of the fault and should tend towards zero at both ends of the fault trace, following an elliptical profile (Pollard and Segall, 1987; Cowie and Scholz, 1992a; Scholz, 2002).

However, the numerous slip profiles measured from natural exposures, show a larger variety of patterns, with a first-order linear, and often, asymmetric shape (Muraoka and Kamata, 1983; Peacock and Sanderson, 1991; Bürgmann et al., 1994; Dawers and Anders, 1995; Nicol et al., 1996, 2010; Contreras et al., 2000; Manighetti et al., 2001a, 2004, 2007, 2015; Davis et al., 2005; Roche et al., 2012; Nixon et al., 2014; Tibaldi et al., 2016). Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain such a discrepancy between observations and model predictions. First, interactions of adjacent fault segments leading to the development of a main fault by segment linkage could cause a scattering of the cumulative slip along multiple fault traces (Cartwright et al., 1995; Dawers and Anders, 1995; Nicol et al., 1996, 2010; Fosen and Rotevatn, 2016). Second, the heterogeneity of the friction on the fault interface may contribute to the relaxation or concentration of stress along the fault plane and therefore locally stop or promote slip along the fault (Mikumo and Miyatake, 1978; Bürgmann et al., 1994). Third, interactions between faults, as well as crustal heterogeneities, act as barriers to fault propagation, promoting vertical fault growth instead (Aki, 1979;
King, 1986; Manighetti et al., 2001a, 2004). In this latter case, the scarp height measurement represented as a function of the distance along the fault and called “displacement-length profile” or “slip profile”, may thus be considered as a source of information regarding the conditions of lateral fault propagation and they can be used as a proxy to record the different phases of growth of a fault.

The Afar Depression is an ideal place to study the long-term evolution of normal faults because both low erosion and sedimentation make the normal faults well preserved, allowing for the use of the scarps height as a suitable measure of the cumulative slip. Manighetti et al. (2001a) used displacement-length profiles measured on normal faults dissecting the surface of the Afar depression to propose a model of fault growth based on the shape of profiles, especially those showing linear sections. The study of Manighetti et al. (2001a), however, did not take into account the potential influence of the magmatic-tectonic interactions on the fault growth, whereas all the faults are located in a highly volcanic context. Indeed, the influence of magma bodies on the fault activity has been observed both at short and long time scales in Afar, and in other extensional regions (Mastin and Pollard, 1988; Rubin and Pollard, 1988; Rubin, 1992; Gudmundsson, 2003; Gudmundsson and Loetvis, 2005; Doubre et al., 2007; Doubre and Peltzer, 2007; Calais et al., 2008; Biggs et al., 2009; Medynski et al., 2013, 2016). Along the Dabbahu-Manda-Hararo (DMH) rift, in central Afar, magmatic-tectonic interactions have been proved active for the last hundred thousand years (Lahitte et al., 2003a, b; Vye-Brown et al., 2012; Ferguson et al., 2013; Medynski et al., 2013, 2015, 2016). Using interferometric synthetic aperture radar analysis (InSAR) together with field observations, magmatic-tectonic interactions have also been evidenced on the short-term, over the 5 years long-lasting riftting episode from 2005 to 2010, during both the dyking injections (Wright et al., 2006; Rowland et al., 2007; Grandin et al., 2009, 2010b; Hamling et al., 2009) and the few month-long post-dyking periods (Dumont et al., 2016).

DMH rift is in a late phase of magmatic continental rifting where dyke intrusions are assumed to be the main process for the nucleation and growth of normal faults (Carbotte et al., 2006; Rowland et al., 2007; Ebinger et al., 2013). Therefore, its past and recent magmatic-tectonic activity makes it a good case study to examine the interplay between magmatism and faulting, and to investigate how faults propagate along an active magmatic rift. Here we use high-resolution remote-sensing data and topography to map in detail normal fault scarps in the DMH rift and to measure cumulative throw along fault strike for 357 faults. By analyzing the shape of the displacement-length profiles as well as their distribution along DMH rift with respect to the magma reservoirs, we aim at better understand fault growth processes in presence of magma. More specifically we look how magma-induced heterogeneities in the crust can promote, or inhibit, lateral fault development. We also show that displacement-length profile for each fault can be used to track past magma injections.

2. Geological setting

The Afar Depression is a diffuse triple junction where three divergent plate boundaries meet: the oceanic ridges of the Red Sea (RS), the Gulf of Aden (GA), and the East African Rift Systems (EARS) (Fig. 1a; Barberi and Varet, 1977; Tesfaye et al., 2003). On-land continuations of the GA and RS oceanic ridges are not yet connected and they overlap in central Afar (Courtillot et al., 1980, 1987; Sappionier et al., 1990; Manighetti et al., 1997, 1998, 2001b). Along these two branches, active extension and recent volcanism are concentrated along rift segments, whose size and morphology are similar to those of second order segmentation of an oceanic slow-spreading ridge (Hayward and Ebinger, 1996; Manighetti et al., 1998), although continental breakup is not yet clearly established in Afar (Makris and Ginzburg, 1987; Tiberi et al., 2005; Hammond et al., 2011).

The DMH rift belongs to the RS ridge (Fig. 1a). It consists in a ~60 km-long and ~20 km-wide volcano-tectonic segment that localized faulting and volcanism since ~1–1.5 Myr (Hayward and Ebinger, 1996; Manighetti et al., 2001b; Lahitte et al., 2003b; Audin et al., 2004). The DMH rift segment concentrates most of the extension accommodating the divergent motion of the Nubia and Arabia plates, which are moving apart at a rate of 15 mm/yr (Fig. 1a; e.g. Vigny et al., 2006). Similar to other rift segments in Afar, the DMH encompasses a central volcanic center, the Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex (AVC) characterized by silicic rocks, which was intensely melted by large normal faults (Fig. 1b; Lahitte et al., 2003a, b). The location of the AVC corresponds to where the azimuth of the DMH rift axis and associated fault systems changes significantly. South of AVC, the main graben and normal faults are oriented ~N150°, i.e. orthogonal to the N055°-oriented Arabia/Nubia divergent plate motion. North of AVC, the faults and the rift inner graben are oriented N165° (Fig. 1b; Rowland et al., 2007; Medynski et al., 2012). At its northern tip, the DMH rift hosts the ~10 km in diameter Dabbahu stratovolcano (Fig. 1b). This volcano has participated in resurfacing the topography north of AVC, as suggested by extensive lava flows emitted 72–58 kyrs ago (Medynski et al., 2013). Geodetic and seismic data have shown that the Dabbahu, as well as the nearby Gabbo volcano, were involved in the first and largest dyke intrusion (~60 km long) of the 2005 rifting episode (Wright et al., 2006; Ayole et al., 2007; Grandin et al., 2009). The analysis of both historical eruptions and InSAR data following the September 2005 intrusion suggests the existence of a series of stacked sills located below the Dabbahu volcano, at depth ranging from 1 to 5 km. Consistency of depth between the two approaches indicates that this magma storage could be a long-lived source (Field et al., 2012). During the rifting episode, the dykes injected between June 2006 and May 2010 were exclusively fed by the mid-segment magma chamber (MSMC) located at the rift center, ~5 km south of the intersection between the AVC chain and the rift axis, where the crust has been stretched and thinned down to 6 km (Keir et al., 2009; Hamling et al., 2009, 2010; Grandin et al., 2010a, b; Belachew et al., 2011). Modeling of geodetic data spanning inter-dyking periods has suggested that MSMC consisted both of a shallow magma chamber (~4 km depth) and of a deeper one (>15 km) (Grandin et al., 2010a, b; Hamling et al., 2009). Most of the smaller intrusions (at most ~15 km long) propagated unilaterally towards either the northern or the southern end of segment (Hamling et al., 2009, 2010; Grandin et al., 2010b, 2011, 2012; Belachew et al., 2011, 2013), in a similar manner to what was observed during the Krafla rifting episode in Iceland (Björnsson et al., 1979; Wright et al., 2012). Normal faulting above dykes has been proposed to be the main process of seismic energy release during dyke intrusions (Belachew et al., 2013) and also to be responsible for the low-frequency earthquakes (Belachew et al., 2011; Tepp et al., 2016). Seismic analysis revealed that northward propagating dykes were faster and more voluminous than southward propagating ones. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain these differences in the migration rates as resulting either from asperities associated with previous dyke intrusions, or subcrustal magma chamber (Belachew et al., 2011), or an asymmetry in the distribution of tensile stresses along the rift (Grandin et al., 2009, 2011; Barnie et al., 2015). In addition, MSMC refilled between dyke injections, inducing significant transient surface displacements at the center of the rift (Grandin et al., 2010b) and triggering slip along the
surface faults during the months following the injections (Dumont et al., 2016). The lateral extension of the MSMC is poorly constrained, although at first-order it should range between 5 km and 8 km along-axis (Grandin et al., 2010a; Belachew et al., 2011). In addition to these reservoirs, the inversion of magneto-telluric data collected along a west-east profile located north of AVC has suggested the presence of a large magma reservoir located mostly off-rift, extending ~30 km to the west, ranging from 5 to 10 km in depth (Desissa et al., 2013).

3. Materials and method

Using panchromatic Quickbird images (resolution 60 cm, acquired between January 2006 and May 2007), SPOT-5 images
depends on the total length of the fault.

Among this fault population, we only consider individual faults longer than 500 m, in order to exclude incipient faults, and also because small scarps are falling into the limit of the vertical accuracy of the DEM. In addition, most of the short faults are located within the inner floor of the rift and may be partially covered by lava flows that would lead to a misinterpretation of their displacement-length profile. Following this criterion, our dataset is composed of 357 normal faults (Fig. 1b).

Using SPOT-5 DEM, we have extracted the cumulative vertical throw along strike for each fault in our dataset, by estimating the height of the scarp for a series of parallel short profiles normal to each fault strike, following the methodology described in Dumont et al. (2016). The scarp height represents therefore cumulative slip that includes the September 2005 intrusion and the centimeter-scale deformation until January 2006 induced by slow magma transfers (Dumont et al., 2016). The short profiles are separated by ~15 m, and the total number of profiles for each fault depends on the total length of the fault.

Each profile for our fault population has been normalized using both their maximum displacement (D/Dmax) and their maximum length (L/Lmax) to be classified according to Manighetti et al. (2001a). These authors distinguished eight types of slip profile based on their shape, which are grouped into three main categories: unrestricted, restricted and elliptical profiles (Fig. 2). The scenario they proposed for fault growth takes into account the initiation point, where slip starts, and barriers, where propagation stops. The first-order linear profiles would eventually become elliptical, as a result of fault growth evolution that includes both a vertical development and a bilateral propagation. Asymmetrical slip profiles appear when a barrier is encountered along one (half-restricted and tip-restricted profiles, Fig. 2b and c) or both fault tips (double tip restricted (DTR) profiles, Fig. 2d–f). Faults are thus unilaterally propagating or vertically developing, respectively. Steep gradients reflect early arrest in the lateral growth. Finally, the elliptical profiles are interpreted as profiles of faults that are not propagating anymore (Fig. 2g). However, when elliptical profiles show some tapering at one end (Fig. 2h), it is interpreted as the evidence that lateral propagation of the fault has resumed after a phase of vertical growth, after passing the barrier.

4. Results

4.1. Patterns of displacement-length fault profiles

The fault population in the DMH rift is composed of ~40% of pinned faults, e.g., DTR category, of 30% of propagating faults including either bilateral or unilateral growth, and of 30% of faults considered to be at their final stage of development, e.g., having an elliptical profile (Fig. 2). In this latter category, the elliptical profiles with tapers (~17%) indicate a new phase of lateral growth. It means that about half of the population studied is still in a phase of lateral propagation, while the second half is in a phase of vertical growth (Fig. 2). This analysis also reveals that two thirds of the 357 faults under study have encountered at least one barrier during their lateral growth (Table 1).

The restricted patterns represent almost half of the fault population under study (Fig. 2d–f and Table 1). Faults with a restricted displacement-length profile show longer and higher scarps than unrestricted faults (Table 1), which is consistent with a later stage of development (Manighetti et al., 2001a). Most of the restricted displacement-length profiles are asymmetrical (88%, Table 1) and clustered in DTR2 and DTR3 groups (Fig. 2e and f). The steep decrease of slip for the restricted profiles occurs over a distance that is variable, although it never exceeds 20% of the total length of the fault. DTR3 profiles differ from DTR2 by their steepest gradient: their maximum slip occurs at a distance of 10%–20% of the total fault length, whereas it occurs at one third of the total fault length for DTR2 (Fig. 2e), reflecting a different timing of their meeting with a barrier.

Regarding the profiles consistent with unrestricted patterns, most of them (84%) correspond to an asymmetric shape, with one steep slip gradient on one side of the fault, e.g., half-restricted or tip-restricted profiles (Fig. 2b and c). They are considered as unilaterally propagating and they represent 22.4% of the total population of 357 faults. The steep gradient of the unrestricted category never affects more than 20% and 10% of the total length of the fault for the half-restricted faults and the tip-restricted, respectively (Fig. 2b and c). Half-restricted faults are twice as more frequent and shorter than tip-restricted ones, and they also show lower Dmax (Table 1). On the contrary, the group of unrestricted profiles consists in a small population (4.2%) that includes the shortest faults (Fig. 2a and Table 1). This group corresponds to young faults that have small dimensions and that are still bilaterally propagating.

Considering the elliptical category, the proportions of profiles with tapers and those of quasi-elliptical ones are relatively similar. Fig. 2h shows how variable can be the length of the tapered tip of elliptical patterns, which can represent up to 50% of the fault length. Although elliptical displacement-length profiles are interpreted to correspond to mature faults, their dimensions (D, L) are smaller than those of the restricted category, which gathers the longest faults with the largest cumulative displacement (Table 1). Even though lengths of unrestricted and elliptical faults are comparable, the maximum displacement for each category differs significantly with larger displacements for the unrestricted category (Table 1).

4.2. Unilaterally propagating faults

In this section, we examine lateral propagation with a focus on tip-restricted and half-restricted patterns, as they both represent a later stage of growth, the bilateral propagation corresponding to the first phase of fault growth (Manighetti et al., 2001a).

Fig. 3 shows the location of the half-restricted and tip-restricted profiles along the DMH rift. For each fault, a symbol (point/square) is indicating which side of the fault is locked and does not propagate anymore. Faults are rather evenly distributed within the axial depression and, to a lesser extent along the rift shoulders, even though they are slightly more numerous at the rift center, in the AVC area (Fig. 3). A small group of mainly northward propagating faults is also observed off-axis, at ~12.3°N and at ~9 km eastward from MSMC. From these observations, it appears that most of the propagating faults are located along the inner floor and within the topographic depression.

The overall spatial distribution of both half-restricted and tip-restricted displacement-length profiles indicates that 63% of the faults located south of MSMC are southward propagating, whereas 58% of those located north of MSMC are northward propagating (Fig. 3). In the northern part of the rift, the northward propagating faults are located within the rift topographic depression and do not extend further east than the September 2005 dyke-induced graben. We note that most of the active faults confined within the narrow 2005 graben are propagating southward.

Finally, the off-axis position of the northward propagating faults located east of the MSMC, suggests that these unilaterally propagating faults might have been formed during an earlier phase of
DMH rifting during which the conditions of magma supply were certainly different to now (Ebinger et al., 2013). Such displacement-length profiles detected off-axis, on the eastern part of AVC, could also be interpreted such that this area is still affected by extension processes. We will not discuss further these faults.

4.3. Growing faults and rift geometry

Here we focus on the influence of magmatic processes on the fault growth, first by considering the distribution of the fault length along the rift, and second by combining these results with observations related to rift geometry.

We address such matters by considering all 357 faults regardless of their displacement pattern (Fig. 4): The longest faults (>3 km) are equally distributed along the borders of the inner floor and the rift shoulders, but 70% of them are located south of AVC with respect to the small caldera (40.59°E, 12.34°N) located at the intersection between AVC and DMH rift (Fig. 4). In the rift center and vicinity of AVC, the density of short faults is high. South of AVC, the short faults appear relatively equally distributed along the axial
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**Fig. 2.** Distribution of displacement-length profiles for the 357 normal faults studied along the Dabbahu-Manda-Hararo rift following the classification of Manighetti et al. (2001a). These eight shapes are split into three categories of faults: the unrestricted ones which are uni- or bilaterally propagating (a–c), the restricted faults which are pinned faults characterized by a vertical growth phase (d–f), and the elliptical faults (g–h). See text for more details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic of the fault classified into the eight categories presented in Fig. 2.</th>
<th>Lmean in km (std)</th>
<th>Lmed in km</th>
<th>Dmean in m (std)</th>
<th>Dmed in m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted (N = 15)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>25.7 (25.6)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip Rest. (N = 27)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>28.1 (19.1)</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half Rest. (N = 53)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.8 (12.6)</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All unrestricted (N = 95)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>23.1 (17.3)</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR1 (N = 10)</td>
<td>3.3 (3.6)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>19.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR2 (N = 43)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>29.6 (23.1)</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR3 (N = 104)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>24.5 (19.9)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All restricted (N = 157)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.1)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>25.6 (20.4)</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellip. With Tapers (N = 61)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>23.1 (23.2)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Ellipt. (N = 44)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.4 (19.2)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All elliptical (N = 105)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>21.6 (21.6)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
depression and, to a lesser extent, along the rift shoulders. Further north, the short faults are also numerous above the September 2005 dyke-induced graben.

In Fig. 5, we consider both the inner floor depression and the depression extended to the rift shoulders, to define the first-order rift width. This figure shows that the narrowest part of the whole rift coincides with the intersection of AVC with DMH rift. From there, the rift width broadens towards both rift tips. However, this widening is not similar north and south of AVC. This is even more apparent when the rift shoulders are included in the evaluation of the width of the rift. South of AVC the rift is the largest, ~8 km (up to ~20 km when rift shoulders are included), whereas the rift width is ~6 km North of AVC (up to ~8 km, rift shoulders included). The analysis from Figs. 4 and 5 suggests that at the rift center, where recent and old magma centers are located, the relative large population of short faults concentrates in the narrowest part of the rift. On the contrary, at the rift tips, the axial depression is wider and composed of longer faults. In addition, the faults are more widely

---

**Fig. 3.** (a) Location of faults with half and tip restricted displacement-length profiles along the Dabbahu-Manda-Hararo rift. The high slip gradients along the faults are indicated by a circle and a square for the tip-restricted and the half-restricted profiles, respectively. Faults in black propagate northward, while red faults propagate southward. In background, the topography is shown with contour lines every 30 m. The borders of the topographic depression are indicated by purple and gray lines (a and b respectively), and the September 2005 dyke-induced graben boundaries in light gray lines (a) and in red shading (b). The red star locates the current shallow reservoir MSMC involved in the 2005–2010 rifting episode. The red fill circle (b) locates the Dabbahu volcano reservoir. (b) Interpretative scheme showing the directions of lateral propagation deduced from the half-restricted and tip-restricted profile patterns. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
distributed in the southern half of the rift (Fig. 4).

5. Discussion

Confronting the displacement-length fault profiles with morphological considerations at the scale of the rift points at the interactions between magmatic and tectonic processes at different spatial scales. We have shown that most of the propagating faults are located within the topographic depression, where most of the active extension occurs, mostly accommodated by dyke intrusions (Carbotte et al., 2006; Rowland et al., 2007; Ebinger et al., 2013). In addition, most of the barriers appear to be located towards or in the vicinity of magma storage such as the MSMC, suggesting that faults preferentially propagate away from the magma reservoir. Hence,
we suggest that here volcanic centers play a key role on the development and the later evolution of the tectonics structures (Fig. 3). More generally, we have demonstrated the co-location of intense crustal deformation and area of permanent magma storage (Figs. 4–5). In view of these observations, fault growth processes along the DMH rift seem to be controlled by local processes such as magmatism, and not only by regional stress conditions, as it has been proposed for the Asal rift (Fig. 1b, Manighetti et al., 2001a).

As suggested by the topography and geology of the DMH rift (Varet and Gasse, 1978; Vye-Brown et al., 2012), magmatism along DMH rift is the predominant feature (Fig. 1b): the highest elevation at the rift center is associated with the rhyolitic AVC and two volcanoes are located at the northern rift tip: the Dabbahu and Gabho. Both were involved into the transfer of magma below the rift axis during the 2005 dyking event (Wright et al., 2006; Ayele et al., 2009; Grandin et al., 2009). Furthermore, a small caldera, located...
at the intersection between the rift axis and the AVC, indicates the existence of a magma chamber partly drained about 20 kyrs ago (Fig. 1b; Medynski et al., 2015). Contrary to the caldera and AVC, MSMC does not show any volcanic feature or edifice at the surface and it was only evidenced by geodetic and seismic data (Keir et al., 2009; Hamling et al., 2009, 2010; Grandin et al., 2010a, b; Belachew et al., 2011). However, MSMC, the small caldera, and AVC, they all are, or have been, associated with the presence of magma storage that has been maintained between 12.28°N and 12.4°N of latitude during the last ~20 kyrs (Medynski et al., 2015, Fig. 1b), area that might be extended based on magneto-telluric results. These along-axis variations in the location of magma bodies could reflect a plumbing system composed of short-lived reservoirs, whose positions may vary locally although they remain confined close to the rift center, similar to the localized and transient magma bodies of the second-order slow spreading centers (Cannat et al., 1995; Carbotta et al., 2015). Such distribution of magma at crustal depth participates in making the crust particularly heterogeneous.

More specifically, the presence of magma reservoirs or lenses at shallow depth modifies the thermal field and consequently the temperature-dependent chemical equilibriums in the surrounding crust. The magma-induced thermal anomaly is dissipated by thermal conduction through the upper crust, inducing a thermal softening of the host-rock, which in turn creates a weak layer or a viscoelastic shell (Pavlis, 1996; Burov et al., 2003; Regenauer-Lieb et al., 2008). The thickness of this low-viscosity shell directly relates to the temperature regime of the host-rock, and to the shape and volume of the reservoir (Currenti and Williams, 2014; Douglas et al., 2016 and references therein). The mechanical properties of this weak layer, and more precisely the Young modulus, are significantly decreased when compared to the brittle host-rock (Kampfmann and Berckhemer, 1985; Hobbs et al., 1986). By relaxing the local stresses, this low-viscosity layer induces an increase in the strain-rate along shear zones (Regenauer-Lieb et al., 2008), whereas the brittle host-rock outside the shell keeps accumulating stresses (Currenti and Williams, 2014). The resulting stress contrast between the brittle crust and the viscoelastic shell promotes the conditions of failure in the host rock, hence the localization of the deformation in the upper crust (Benes and Davy, 1996; Callot et al., 2001; Corti et al., 2003; Buck, 2006; Currenti and Williams, 2014). The shear failure in the host-rock is further enhanced by the presence of a deflating or inflating magma chamber (Gerbault et al., 2012; Currenti and Williams, 2014 and references therein). Therefore, taking into account the probable presence of numerous distinct magma bodies below the region delimited by AVC and MSMC, although some are likely not active anymore, the thermo-mechanical conditions of the upper crust in this area appear highly favorable for the initiation of fault growth (Figs. 4 and 6). In addition, these conditions that facilitate the localization of deformation take place in the narrowest part of the rift (Figs. 4 and 5), where the brittle-ductile transition (BDT) is the shallowest (Grandin et al., 2012). However, the localization of the deformation has probably not been primarily induced by magma bodies such they are currently distributed in the upper crust. Actually the shallow depths of the BDT and the load of a large volcanic edifice such AVC, associated with a weak layer, could have certainly contributed to localize deformation in this area since a long time period according to analog experiments of van Wyk de Vries and Merle (1996).

The low-viscosity shell surrounding the magma body is characterized by pressure and temperature changes when considered with respect to the host-rock. These variations make the energy state of the low-viscosity shell higher than the one of the host-rock. More specifically, temperature increase participates in the process of energy dissipation that gives rise to temperature feedback effects, and therefore contributes to strain localization (Regenauer-Lieb et al., 2008). In fact, an increase of temperature causes a decrease of viscosity that generates an increased strain-rate, which in turn produces an increase in temperature (shear heating) and close the loop of self-localizing temperature feedbacks (Hobbs et al., 1986; Regenauer-Lieb et al., 2008). Small temperature perturbations caused for instance, by phase changes or chemical reactions, are sufficient to trigger temperature feedbacks, making them a fundamental instability in the crust, even if they are time dependent and therefore short-term effects (Regenauer-Lieb et al., 2008). Thus, such processes can account for a self-sustaining...
localization of deformation and contribute to maintain crustal heterogeneities even on a relative short-term, both in regions of long-term magma storage and in areas where magma is only temporarily transferred, for instance by dyke intrusions (Fig. 6). In this way, the thin band of southward propagating faults located within the September 2005 dyke-induced graben north of AVC (Fig. 3), may reflect the effect of infrequent intrusions injected from three interacting magma sources (Dabbahu, Gabho and MSMC) under the eastern rift shoulder, as illustrated by the first rifting event in September 2005 (Fig. 3, Wright et al., 2006; Ayele et al., 2009; Grandin et al., 2009), a kind of event that may also have occurred in the past (Fig. 6, Medynsky et al., 2016).

Although the thermo-mechanical heterogeneities within the brittle crust promote the initiation of faults, they also act as barriers to fault propagation, as illustrated by the only few long faults and conversely, the large number of short faults that are located at the rift center (Fig. 4), as well as by the location of the steepest gradient along the propagating faults (Fig. 3, Manighetti et al., 2004). In addition, the inelastic deformation due to the highly damaged crust located at the fault tips is susceptible to prevent the lateral propagation of the faults (Manighetti et al., 2004). This higher density of barriers located at the rift center is seen both at fault and rift scale (Figs. 3–5). These numerous and various sources of heterogeneities in the upper crust may explain why the elliptical profiles, which have been proposed to correspond to a late stage of fault growth, have smaller dimensions than the restricted ones (Table 1). Indeed, if the elliptical profiles represent mature faults, their conditions of growth along DMH rift may have been somehow laborious, so that both their lateral and vertical development have been inhibited.

Conversely, transfers and storage of magma at shallow depth affect less often the rift tips or at greater depths as highlighted in Asal rift (Pinzutti et al., 2010). It makes these areas more stable from a thermo-mechanical point of view. There, the lithosphere is relatively colder, the BDT is up to twice deeper than at the rift center (Fig. 6, Grandin et al., 2012) and the rift is wider (Fig. 5). Therefore, the conditions for fault propagation appear more favorable than at the rift center, as shown by the lower density of faults, although longer, at the rift tips, and especially at the southern one (Figs. 3, 4 and 6). We propose that the better localization of deformation observed north of AVC is related to the interaction between the DMH rift and the Dabbahu volcano.

Finally, the analysis of cumulative-slip profiles combined with geometrical observations are compared to results obtained for a shorter-time scale, during the last rifting episode. Similarly to the propagating faults, the dykes propagated from the magma reservoir towards the rift tips. However, significant differences were detected in the seismicity rates of dykes propagating northward or southward (Belachew et al., 2011; Grandin et al., 2011; Barnie et al., 2015). These observations were interpreted as resulting either from the extensive stresses differently accumulated along the rift (Grandin et al., 2009, 2011; Barnie et al., 2015) or from asperities associated with magma (Belachew et al., 2011). Our long-term analysis revealed in some way comparable results as the brittle deformation is differently localized north and south of AVC−MSMC region. Therefore, we propose that the pre-existing tectonic structures, better localized in the northern part of the rift, could have contributed to a faster propagation of dyke in this area, in addition to other processes suggested (Grandin et al., 2009, 2011; Belachew et al., 2011; Barnie et al., 2015).

6. Conclusion

We performed a detailed analysis on displacement-length profiles for 357 faults distributed along the Dabbahu-Manda-Hararo rift (Afar). Our results suggest that areas under permanent influence of magma bodies gather favorable conditions for initiating faulting (Fig. 6). However, because of the strong heterogeneities that magma bodies generate within the crust, these areas do not offer reliable conditions for efficient lateral propagation of faults. Hence, we find there a majority of short faults. Persistent self-localizing temperature effect is expected where magma is stored and where dykes are injected and faults activated. On the contrary, the deeper brittle-ductile transition and the relative cooler lithosphere near the rift tips are less affected by magma transfers and therefore offer better condition for lateral propagation (Fig. 6), although this might not be the case for the northern extremity of the rift, where the Dabbahu and Gabbo volcanoes may interact with normal faults through dyke injections. This analysis shows that the propagating faults keep track of the magma transfers within the crust, suggesting that most of the dykes along the axial depression are mostly fed by the MSMC. On the contrary, the northeastern rift shoulder is mostly intruded by dykes, such as in September 2005, whose magma was provided by the reservoirs of Dabbahu and Gabbo volcanoes, even if these events are recognized as unusual.

Acknowledgment

We thank Harsha Bhat and Raphaël Grandin for fruitful discussions. We are grateful to C. Ebinger and A. Tibaldi for their comprehensive reviews that helped to improve the paper, and to the Editor, C. Paschier for his handling of the manuscript. Most figures were prepared using the Generic Mapping Tool (GMT) software by Wessel and Smith (1991) and Inkscape. This research was supported by the French Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (DoRA project, ANR-09-JCJC-0051–01). This is IPGP contribution number 3811.

References


